Superconducting Linac Operations and Performance



SNS AAC Review February 2, 2010

SANG-HO KIM SCL Area Manager



Outline

- SCL operational status
- SCL performances
 - Limits, limiting factors and understandings
- Testing program and R&D
- Summary



SNS SRF cavity

Major Specifications: $E_a=15.9$ MV/m at $\beta=0.81$ $E_a=10.2$ MV/m at $\beta=0.61$ & $Q_o> 5E9$ at 2.1 K







SNS Cryomodule



SCL status at around last review

- Operation during Oct. 08-Jan. 09 run; 865 MeV (76 cavities)
 - Out of Service; H01 for repair, 11b
 - Lower gradients to accommodate higher beam loading
 - 23d; in service w/ a few MeV energy reserve
- Upgrade during Jan. & Feb. 09 maintenance period
 - H01 back in service in the slot of CM19
 - One additional HVCM for SCL
 - One 11 pack (71 kV for 1a-4b) + seven 10 pack (75 kV)
 - Enough RF power for design beam current
 - Cavity filling time; 300 us → 250 us
 - DC biasing for selected cavities
 - ~10 cavities enter MP at FPC when >22 mA → coupler heating
 - HPM board upgrade for electron probes
 - Detectable during filling and decay time



SCL operational status since last review (I)

- March 09 July 09; 928 MeV + 10 MeV (80 cavities out of 81 cavities)
 - New HVCM
 - Enough RF for design beam (26 mA)
 - DC biasing for selected cavities
 - Eliminate coupler heating at 60 Hz
 - Several klystrons showed instabilities at operating gradients
 - slightly lower gradient for those cavities
 - 5a air side arcing; water condensation
 - 12.5 MV/m → 7.5 MV/m
 - Inspection during summer maintenance period



March-July 09 operating gradients



Additional HVCM; enough RF power for design current DC biasing for selected cavities H01 repaired and put in the slot of CM19



Linac RF; enough for design current Tested at design intensity on 7/11/09



Multipacting at Fundamental power coupler





Klystron output instability

- Thales Klystrons have shown unstable output at a constant drive
- This instability sit in the operating conditions of several cavities
- Run at slightly lower gradients
- Request Klystron changes (Hardek's talk)



5a air side arcing after QMCS water in manual mode

• Eacc; 12.5 \rightarrow 7.5 MV/m and Rescaled SCL



← | → | ↑ | ↓ | ◀▶ | � | ▶◀ | <u>↓</u> | ...

5a air side arcing

- Inspection during the summer maintenance
 - Dried out
 - Window looks fine
 - Some arcing spots on inner and outer conductor extensions
- Conditioning before September run
 - Conditioned up to 12 MV/m
 - Set at 10.5 MV/m for next run
- Preparation of dry gas purging system (cryo group)



SCL operational status since last review (II)

- Sep. 09 Dec. 09; starting 928 MeV + 12 MeV (80 out of 81 cavities)
 - Replacement of Thales klystrons (15d-17d; 9 klystrons)
 - Beam ramp up scheme for high intensity beam
 - IOC overload issue at 825 us AFF
 - Cavity performance degradations possibly by errant beam
 - Cavity performance degradations; First time at SNS
 - Nov. 15 ; 5a turned off, re-phasing SCL, use 23d energy reserve
 - Dec. 16; 6c turned off, turn on 5a at 7 MV/m, re-phasing SCL, use 23d & set phase at ~0 for last 5 cavities
 - Errant beam duration could be longer than expected
 - Questions on MPS delay/speed (White's Talk)



Sept. 09 Operating gradients



Operating gradients are mostly limited by cavity performances (mainly field emission, multipacting...)



Cavity performance limitations

- Field emission (major limiting factor)
- Coupler heating
- Others



for the Department of Energy

Adaptive Feed Forward (AFF) learning at ~20 mA average current



¹⁶ Managed by UT-Ba for the Department of Some cavities need ~>25 % more RF at the beginning of AFF

AFF & Beam ramp-up

- When beam current is bigger than ~18 mA average
 - − field regulations go beyond the threshold \rightarrow RF truncation \rightarrow AFF can not learn
 - − BLM trips \rightarrow AFF can not learn
- Klystron power is usually those at saturation
 - Non-linear
- We use PW (chopping pattern; ratio between mini-pulse and gap)
 - − Starting around <18 mA $I_{b,avg}$ → after AFF learned → increase $I_{b,avg}$





LLRF control IOC overload

 At 825 beam pulse (AFF); observed fluctuations of linac output energy



- Before finishing calculations, next pulse comes in → ignore pulse with beam on condition → random combinations along the SCL
- In SCL, one IOC handles two LLRF systems
- IOC loads exceed 85 % (sometimes 95 %)



Temporary fix (K. Kasemir, M. Crofford)

- Force algorithm to finish processing even though the next pulse comes in
- Increase the history buffer sampling period by 1.25 (2.4 us → 3 us)
- Other minor software changes
- Working fine up to 825 us beam
 Processing ratio; > 90 % (enough)
- Could be an issue at a longer pulse
 - New IOC preparation (White's talk)



Cavity trips by errant beams (I)



Cavity trips by errant beams (II)

- All along the linac; random
- (Another example) At cavity 3b, 3c trips ← from CCL RF truncation; vacuum burst, valve closed, different loss pattern



5a incident

- After several trips by errant beam, showed performance degradation
- No correlations with upstream RF truncations
- Cavity quenched even at 8 MV/m with HOMB spikes with RF only
 - No arcing at both air and vacuum sides
- Turned off on 11/5/09, re-phased SCL
- Plans were made for MPS speed measurements



BLM at trips & Partial quench 5a

At normal operation



At trip







6c incident

- After 5a turned off, 6c tripped by errant beam hit (1~2/day)
 - Checked performance during maintenance days
 - No degradations were found until 12/12/09
- Since 12/12/09, it started quenching with arcing at vacuum side and noisy HOMB signals
 - Followed same path as 5a
 - Lowered gradient down to 8 (from 13) on 12/12/09



6c incident (II)

- On 12/16/09, trips by itself at 7 MV/m without beam
 - Turned off, re-phase SCL



Loss pattern comparisons (6c trip by errant h 02 File Edit Add View Window Help



BLM

百

26 Managed by UT-Battelle for the Department of Ener

BUN

n' n' 🖾

o 8 X



SCL availability

-Gradient settings;

based on 60Hz collective limits

-Downtimes;

Experienced cavity performance degradations; ~20 hours of downtime from the 3 events

Most of operation except those 3 events; While conditioning (after maintenances) a few trips a day During production run < 0.1 hr/day



R&D program

- Linac output energy; mainly limited by cavity performance now
- In-situ plasma processing; First attempt with H01 showed very promising results
- Set a systematic R&D program to find optimum processing conditions
- Hardware preparations are in progress (Mammosser's talk)



R&D tools

TM020 Test cavity

3.4 GHz, TM020 mode Ep/Bp=1.12 (MV/m)/mT Ex. Ep=50 MV/m, Bp=56 mT P_{diss}=36 W at 4.2 K



w/ dual mode (CW or pulse) -Plasma processing

> Demountable witness plate





Summary

- Support Neutron production at 928 MeV up to 1 MW
 - 1 incident from water condensation at air side of coupler
 - 2 incidents from errant beam \rightarrow performance degradation
- Improvements
 - One additional HVCM; Available RF power
 - 9 New klystrons; Output instability
 - DC biasing for selected cavities; MP induced coupler heating
 - Coupler water temperature alarm; water condensation
 - Temporary fix for LLRF IOC; AFF learning issue, IOC overloading issue

• Next run preparation

- First, conservative RF conditioning will be on 5a, 6c in a week
- Output energy goal; about same energy (928 MeV + some reserve)
- Further improvements
 - MPS delay issue for errant beam; some are very slow → improvements are in progress
 - LLRF IOC
 - Coupler air side water condensation
 - 4CMs has dry air purging system for the next run
 - If successful, all during the next maintenance in summer

R&D program for in-situ plasma processing

