#### TARGET IMAGING SYSTEM (TIS) STATUS

Shea, McManamy, Maxey, Goetz, Brunson, Wagner, Blokland, Lance, Kenik, Montgomery, Murray, McTeer (ORNL: RAD, NFDD, Mat. Sci., Bldg. Tech)

Sampath, Bancke, et. al. (SUNY-SB)

Fiorito, Shkvarunets, et. al. (U of Maryland)

White (TN Metalizing)

AAC Meeting February 2 -4, 2010





# **Optical Path**





# **Flame Spray Process Development**



•Center for Thermal Spray Research at State University of New York, Stony Brook fabricated multiple samples to evaluate process

•ORNL measured emission intensity at ~ 690 nm after

<sup>3</sup> Mexcitation by green laser

Relative intensity of ~
60% compared to
chromox plate achieved
Particle size, %
Chromia and pre-reacting mix important





#### **Photo Stimulated Luminescence**



Intensity

# Mockup testing was done to validate process

- Automated spray system developed
- Mockup targets with thermocouples used to measure substrate temperature
- Peak substrate temperatures < 120</li>
   C achieved
- Application temperature is close to operating temperature which reduces stresses in the coating







#### Flame Spray (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>+ 1.5% Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) development



Portable Flame Spray Coating and Ventilation System Developed by the Center for Thermal Spray Research (SUNY at Stony Brook)



## **Late June: Target Coating**

~ 1 yr luminescent materials and process development Automated spray process: Concept to completion in 8 weeks, 2 targets sprayed just in time for change-out.





# **Completed Target Coating**



Nominal Thickness 0.25 mm, 200mm x 70 mm pattern

Mockup testing established parameters and showed substrate temperatures were < 120 C with air cooling



# July/Aug: Optical Installation and Testing

Image of simulated target prior to PBW/optics installation:



Image of actual retracted target after PBW/optics installation:



Resolution was poorer then would be expected from the fiber spacing
On-line calibration from fiducial pattern difficult
Spherical aberrations in the focusing lens assembly seem to be the cause



#### **Images after initial operation**



Case 1: image with potential gas scintillation

•No beam tilt observed

Initial comparison of TIS with RTBT Wizard gave peak densities ~ 10% higher from TIS
On line TIS show lower peak density then

projections from harp

•Detailed comparison study of the two methods is needed for single pulse and at power and is planned for the next startup

Case 2: image with shutter delayed by 4 microseconds to gate out suspected gas





#### **Observed intensity vs. kW-hrs on target**



centers which saturate at ~ 0.1 dpa

for the U.S. Department of Energy



# **Typical spectrum and color image**





Typical spectrum showing ~ 690 nm ruby line and also many Helium, Oxygen and other lines Color image showing more blue in the lower right region from helium



#### **Normalized Intensity vs dpa**

Intensity vs Alumina dpa (rough estimate)





#### **Small Proton Contribution to DPA in Alumina**



Contribution of protons to DPA in the alumina layer (%)

14 Managed by UT-Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy Contribution is low because the cross section for damage in Al is low at 1 GeV and the neutron flux is approximately 3 times the proton flux



#### **Operational Example**

Horizontal Projection



20% increase in peaking easily detected on TIS but operators were not aware because harp was not updating - corrected later <sup>15</sup> Managed by UI-Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy



#### **Uniformity Scan with Small Beam**





# **Uniformity scan results**



- A small beam spot was moved across the approximate horizontal and vertical center lines and the relative peak intensity measured
- Horizontal variations were ~ +/-5% and the vertical ~ +/- 8%



#### **Comparison of profiles: Possible correction for optical fiber pattern - W. Blokland**



#### **Original Data**

18 Managed by UT-Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy Fiber pattern reduces total light -> higher peak density



# **Processed with 4x4 cell**



Reduce the image by using a 4x4 cell : Raw Peak density correct and fit peak density went down slightly Managed by UT-Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy



# **3D image before cell processing**



#### Correction for increased background: important as the fit assumes zero background



# **3D image after cell processing**



Cell processing cleans up raw image but 3D reconstruction cleaner (of course)
The fitted results don't change much depending on the cell processing
Cell Processing gives a quick and dirty Peak density without requiring fiber pattern calibration (calibration can be more accurate)



#### **Next Proton Beam Window and Targets**

#### Coating

- SUNY to improve coating process
- Same luminescent material
- Optics
  - Incremental improvements to resolution and contrast
    - 1.4 mm, 20,000 fiber vs. 1.0 mm 10,000
    - Aspherical optics in lens assembly to reduce 3<sup>rd</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> order spherical aberration in first design
    - Narrower field of view since pointing accuracy is good
  - Minor mechanical revisions
  - Additional tube for material irradiation tests and dose monitoring
- Second PBW shield plug 25 mm diameter penetration in opposite corner
  - Potential use with a mercury diagnostic target R&D

- Potential TIS use for a light and on-line spectrometer



#### R&D

- Time-gated imaging spectroscopy
  - DLP for spatial modulation
- Analyze time-resolved images
  - Large reduction in decay time observed ( ~ 2ms to 26  $\mu s)$
  - Attempt to correlate decay time constant with temperature and sensitivity(?)
- High resolution spectroscopy to investigate changes in material along with temperature and stress
- Investigate alternate luminescent materials
- Further improvements to fiber and optics
- Radiation damage studies
  - Investigate HFIR irradiation testing
- Coating process and investigation of surface annealing
- Complete the deferred beam studies (LANL or elsewhere)
- Other applications (RID, PBW?)



#### Summary

- Target Imaging System is performing well despite an initial loss of intensity
- Further calibration and comparison with the RTBT Wizard projections are needed as part of the process of turning it over to Operations
- Upgrades for the next generation are being incorporated
- R&D activities to understand radiation damage and develop improvements have been identified

