


DIFFUSE SCATTERING BEYOND THE BRAGG PEAKS




RAYMOND OSBORN

Neutron & X-ray Scattering Group
Materials Science Division

Acknowledgements: Stephan Rosenkranz and Matthew Krogstad


OUTLINE

- What is diffuse scattering?
 - What causes it?
- What is it good for?
 - A random walk through disordered materials
- How do I model it?
 - A few equations
- How do I measure it?
- Case Study 1: Diffuse scattering from vacancies in mullite
- Case Study 2: 3D- Δ PDF in sodium-intercalated V_2O_5
- How do I look at static disorder?
 - Diffuse scattering with elastic discrimination





WHAT IS DIFFUSE SCATTERING?



Bragg Scattering
Average Structure


Diffuse Scattering
Deviations from the Average Structure

DIFFUSE SCATTERING


SIMPLE EXAMPLE OF DISORDER

Replace 30% of atoms (blue dots) by vacancies (green dots)

Random Vacancies



Vacancy Clusters




Model due to Thomas Proffen


BRAGG SCATTERING

The average occupancy is unchanged \rightarrow Bragg peaks are identical

Random Vacancies




Vacancy Clusters



DIFFUSE SCATTERING


The diffuse scattering is quite different.

Random Vacancies



Laue Monotonic Scattering

Vacancy Clusters






Substitutional Diffuse Scattering



WHAT IS IT GOOD FOR?

DIFFUSE SCATTERING FROM METALLIC ALLOYS


Short-range Order in Null Matrix $^{62}\text{Ni}_{0.52}\text{Pt}_{0.52}$



J. A. Rodriguez, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 104115



DIFFUSE SCATTERING FROM A FAST-ION CONDUCTOR

Sublattice Melting in Fluorite Compounds






CaF₂

M. T. Hutchings *et al*
 J. Phys. C **17**, 3903 (1984)




DIFFUSE SCATTERING FROM MOLECULAR SOLIDS

Molecular Flexibility in Benzil




T. R. Welberry *et al* J. Appl. Cryst. **36**, 1400 (2003)

DIFFUSE SCATTERING FROM JAHN-TELLER POLARONS




B. J. Campbell, *et al.*, Phys Rev B **65**, 014427 (2002).

INCOMMENSURATE MODULATIONS IN $\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ba}_{0.5}\text{NbO}_6$




Acknowledgements:
Bixia Wang and Daniel Phelan



MAGNETIC MONOPOLES IN SPIN ICE

Diffuse Magnetic Scattering in $\text{Dy}_2\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7$



D. J. P. Morris, *et al.*, *Science* **326**, 411 (2009).

HOW DO I MODEL IT?



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
ENERGY

Argonne National Laboratory is a
U.S. Department of Energy laboratory
managed by UChicago Argonne, LLC.

Argonne 
NATIONAL LABORATORY

DIFFUSE SCATTERING THEORY

A Few Equations

$$I = \sum_i \sum_j b_i b_j \exp(i\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij})$$

- Laue Monotonic Diffuse Scattering

$$I = \bar{b}^2 \sum_{ij} \exp(i\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij}) + N(\bar{b}^2 - \bar{b}^2); \quad \bar{b}^2 = (c_A b_A + c_B b_B)^2; \quad \bar{b}^2 = c_A c_B (b_B - b_A)^2$$

- Cowley Short-Range Order

$$I_{diffuse} = N c_A c_B (b_B - b_A)^2 + \sum_{ij} \alpha_{ij} c_B c_A (b_B - b_A)^2 \exp(i\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij}); \quad \alpha_{ij} = \left(1 - \frac{P_{ij}}{c_j}\right)$$

- Warren Size Effect


$$I_{diffuse} = N c_A c_B (b_B - b_A)^2 \left(1 + \sum_{ij} \alpha_{ij} \exp(i\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij}) + \beta_{ij} \exp(i\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij})\right); \quad \beta_{ij} = f(\epsilon_{AA}^{ij}, \epsilon_{BB}^{ij})$$

- Borie and Sparks Correlations

$$I = \sum_i \sum_j b_i b_j \exp(i\mathbf{Q} \cdot (\mathbf{R}_i - \mathbf{R}_j)) \left[1 + i\mathbf{Q} \cdot (\mathbf{u}_i - \mathbf{u}_j) - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{Q} \cdot (\mathbf{u}_i - \mathbf{u}_j))^2 + \dots\right]$$

V. M. Nield and D. A. Keen *Diffuse Neutron Scattering From Crystalline Materials* (2001)

T. R. Welberry *Diffuse X-ray Scattering and Models of Disorder* (2022)



J. M. Cowley, *J. Appl. Phys.* **21**, 24 (1950)

SOME RULES OF THUMB

Acknowledgment: Hans Beat Bürgi

Reciprocal space

- Sharp Bragg reflections
 - no defects
- Sharp diffuse rods
 - no defects
- Sharp diffuse planes
 - no defects
- Diffuse clouds
 - no defects





Direct space

- 3D-periodic structure
 - no defects
- 2D-periodic structure
 - perpendicular to the streaks
 - disordered in streak directions
- 1D-periodic structure
 - perpendicular to the planes
 - disordered within the plane
- 0D-periodic structure
 - no fully ordered direction

THERMAL DIFFUSE SCATTERING

M. Holt, *et al*, Phys Rev Lett **83**, 3317 (1999).

- Lattice vibrations produce deviations from the average structure even in perfect crystals
- X-ray scattering intensity is given by the integral over all the phonon branches at each Q



$$I_0 \propto f^2 e^{-2M} \sum_{j=1}^6 \frac{|\mathbf{q} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_j|^2}{\omega_j} \coth\left(\frac{\hbar\omega_j}{2k_B T}\right).$$


HOW DO I MEASURE IT?

MEASURING X-RAY DIFFUSE SCATTERING

Continuous Rotation Method

Sector 6 - APS

Detector



Incident Beam



Cryocooler

Sample

X-RAY SCATTERING GEOMETRY


Continuous Rotation Method

- The sample is continuously rotated at 1°s^{-1}
- Frames are collected at 10Hz
 - 3600 x 8MB frames
 - 30GB every 6 minutes
 - 3TB per day






Q-RANGE IN ROTATION METHOD ON SECTOR 6

- With the following parameters, we cover $-15\text{\AA}^{-1} < \mathbf{Q} < 15\text{\AA}^{-1}$
 - $E_i \sim 87 \text{ keV}$
 - $\lambda \sim 0.14 \text{ \AA}$
 - Detector distance $\sim 650 \text{ mm}$
 - Pilatus 2M CdTe: 1679×1475 pixels
 - Pixel size $\sim 170 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$
- This Q-range includes thousands of Brillouin zones.
 - e.g., for $a \sim 10 \text{ \AA}$, $\sim 60,000$ Bragg peaks



EXPERIMENT WORKFLOW



pmn_det_omega_mov_mask/f1 Peak Table


Primary Secondary Orient

I	x	y	z	d _h Å	Az	Intensity	H	K	L	Diff
195	1214	1638	1574	2.01	-10.00	2.44e+07	-0.00	0.00	-1.00	0.000
291	1280	1637	2447	2.01	13.15	1.8e+07	1.00	-0.00	0.00	0.000
403	1269	1639	3371	2.01	9.14	2.12e+07	-0.00	0.00	1.00	0.001
76	1203	1636	652	2.01	-13.70	3.89e+06	-1.00	-0.00	-0.00	0.001
336	1083	1522	2824	2.01	-73.81	2.35e+08	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.001
116	1401	1523	960	2.01	73.36	1.99e+08	-0.00	-1.00	0.00	0.000
342	1402	1428	2894	2.01	106.43	2.37e+08	-0.00	-1.00	0.00	0.000
126	1083	1426	1030	2.02	-107.25	2.36e+08	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.000
78	1282	1313	672	2.02	166.43	1.95e+07	1.00	0.00	-0.00	0.001
406	1215	1311	3391	2.02	-170.57	2.74e+07	-0.00	0.00	-1.00	0.001
198	1271	1311	1595	2.02	170.31	1.49e+07	-0.00	0.00	1.00	0.001
296	1204	1313	2468	2.03	-166.77	1.99e+07	-1.00	0.00	-0.00	0.001
84	1362	1676	718	2.83	30.83	3.35e+07	-0.99	-1.00	0.00	0.003
302	1120	1675	2530	2.83	-31.44	3.53e+07	0.99	1.00	-0.00	0.002
306	1364	1275	2563	2.84	148.77	4.44e+07	-0.99	-1.00	0.00	0.002
90	1122	1274	751	2.84	-149.17	2.49e+07	0.99	1.00	-0.00	0.003
181	1373	1670	1443	2.84	33.83	8.12e+07	0.00	-1.00	-1.00	0.000
215	1055	1616	1732	2.84	-53.13	1.23e+08	0.00	1.00	-1.00	0.001
274	1440	1602	2288	2.85	57.29	1.25e+08	1.00	-1.00	0.00	0.001
421	1429	1618	3506	2.85	52.51	1.32e+08	0.00	-1.00	1.00	0.001
391	1109	1669	3256	2.85	-34.45	6.54e+07	-0.00	1.00	1.00	0.000
58	1043	1601	518	2.85	-57.74	1.13e+08	-1.00	1.00	0.00	0.001
64	1441	1349	571	2.85	122.40	1.08e+08	1.00	-1.00	0.00	0.000
394	1375	1281	3290	2.85	145.75	8.31e+07	-0.00	-1.00	-1.00	0.000
220	1430	1333	1779	2.86	127.17	1.31e+08	-0.00	-1.00	1.00	0.001
427	1056	1331	3553	2.86	-127.69	9.27e+07	-0.00	1.00	-1.00	0.001
186	1112	1279	1477	2.86	-146.36	8.88e+07	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.000
23	1254	1240	232	2.86	177.08	2.57e+07	1.00	0.00	-1.00	0.000
280	1045	1346	2341	2.86	-123.16	1.37e+08	-1.00	1.00	0.00	0.001




Score: 0.0007 Threshold: Save Orientation Close Window

DIFFUSE SCATTERING IN 3D THE RELAXOR $\text{PbMg}_{1/3}\text{Nb}_{2/3}\text{O}_3$

M. J. Krogstad, *et al*, Nature Materials **48**, 1 (2018).



DIFFUSE GALLERY




CASE STUDY 1: MULLITE


MULLITE - A CASE STUDY

B. D. Butler, T. R. Welberry, & R. L. Withers, *Phys Chem Minerals* **20**, 323 (1993)

- Mullite is a ceramic that is formed by adding O^{2+} vacancies to Sillimanite
 - Sillimanite has alternating AlO_4 and SiO_4 tetrahedra
 - Mullite has excess Al^{3+} occupying Si^{2+} sites for charge balance
- This results in strong vacancy-vacancy correlations




Sillimanite: Al_2SiO_5




Mullite: $Al_2(Al_{2+2x}Si_{2-2x})O_{10-x}$

3D DIFFUSE SCATTERING IN MULLITE


- There is strong diffuse scattering throughout reciprocal space
- The shape of the diffuse scattering is strongly dependent on the value of L



$L=0.16$



$L=0.5$




$L=0.75$

MONTE CARLO ANALYSIS



B. D. Butler, T. R. Welberry, & R. L. Withers,
Phys Chem Minerals **20**, 323 (1993)

- In a classic analysis, Richard Welberry and colleagues developed a set of interaction energies to model mullite disorder
- Interaction energies were initialized:
 - insights from chemical intuition
 - insights from the measured diffuse scattering
- The diffuse scattering was calculated using a Monte Carlo algorithm to generate vacancy distributions first in 2D slices and then in 3D




Interatomic vector	α_{lmn}	Interatomic vector	α_{lmn}
$\frac{1}{2}\langle 1\ 1\ 0\rangle$	-0.24	$\langle 0\ 2\ 0\rangle$	+0.13
$[1\ 1\ 0]$	-0.23	$\frac{1}{2}\langle 3\ 1\ 0\rangle$	+0.22
$[1\ -1\ 0]$	-0.05	$\frac{1}{2}\langle 1\ 3\ 0\rangle$	-0.01
$\langle 1\ 0\ 0\rangle$	-0.06	$\langle 1\ 0\ 1\rangle$	+0.07
$\langle 0\ 1\ 0\rangle$	+0.22	$\langle 0\ 1\ 1\rangle$	-0.12
$\langle 0\ 0\ 1\rangle$	-0.03	$\frac{1}{2}\langle 3\ 3\ 0\rangle$	+0.17
$\frac{1}{2}[1\ -1\ 2]$	+0.12	$\langle 1\ 1\ 1\rangle$	-0.01
$\frac{1}{2}[1\ 1\ 2]$	+0.12	$\frac{1}{2}\langle 3\ 1\ 2\rangle$	-0.11
$\langle 2\ 0\ 0\rangle$	-0.12	$\frac{1}{2}\langle 3\ 3\ 2\rangle$	-0.07

MONTE CARLO ANALYSIS RESULTS




VACANCY ORDERING IN MULLITE



$$c = 0$$


$$\mathbf{q} = \pm \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{c}^* \pm \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{a}^*$$

$$c = 1.0$$



CASE STUDY 2: SODIUM-INTERCALATED V_2O_5 3D- Δ PDF

PAIR DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION ANALYSIS



Emil Bozin (ADD 2013)

THREE-DIMENSIONAL PAIR DISTRIBUTION FUNCTIONS

238

Z. Kristallogr. 2012, 227, 238–247 / DOI 10.1524/zkri.2012.1504


© by Oldenbourg Wissenschaftsverlag, München

The three-dimensional pair distribution function analysis of disordered single crystals: basic concepts

Thomas Weber* and Arkadiy Simonov

Laboratory of Crystallography, ETH Zurich Wolfgang-Pauli-Str. 10, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland


- The 3D PDF technique was pioneered by Thomas Weber and colleagues at ETH
 - Philippe Schaub, Walter Steurer, Arkadiy Simonov
 - See Yell - A. Simonov, *et al*, J Appl Cryst **47**, 1146 (2014).
- The ability to measure three-dimensional $S(\mathbf{Q})$ over a large volume of reciprocal space provides the 3D analog of PDF measurements.
 - Total PDFs if Bragg peaks and diffuse scattering can be measured simultaneously
 - Δ PDFs if the Bragg peaks are eliminated
- This allows a model-independent view of the measurements in real space.



“PUNCH AND FILL”


$$I = \sum_i \sum_j b_i b_j \exp(i\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij})$$

$$P_{tot}(\mathbf{r}) = FT[I(\mathbf{Q})] = FT[|\bar{F}(\mathbf{Q})|^2] + FT[|\Delta F(\mathbf{Q})|^2] = P_{hkl}(\mathbf{r}) + \Delta P(\mathbf{r})$$



A. Simonov, T. Weber, and W. Steurer, *Journal of Applied Crystallography* **47**, 1146 (2014).

SODIUM-INTERCALATED V_2O_5




M. J. Krogstad, S. Rosenkranz, J. M. Wozniak, G. Jennings, J. P. C. Ruff, J. T. Vaughey, and R. Osborn
Nature Materials **19**, 63 (2020).


SUBLATTICE MELTING IN $\text{Na}_{0.45}\text{V}_2\text{O}_5$

Order-Disorder Transition in the Half-Filled Sodium Sublattice

$K=0.5$



3D- Δ PDF ANALYSIS OF $\text{Na}_{0.45}\text{V}_2\text{O}_5$






50K


200K

250K

3D- Δ PDF ANALYSIS OF $\text{Na}_{0.45}\text{V}_2\text{O}_5$




REAL SPACE vs 3D- Δ PDF





ORDER-DISORDER TRANSITION VIEWED IN REAL SPACE

$\text{Na}_{0.45}\text{V}_2\text{O}_5$




EFFECT OF Q-RESOLUTION


- Finite Q-resolution \rightarrow Gaussian envelope in real space.
- The width can be determined from the total PDF
 - *i.e.*, the transform of the long-range crystal structure.



FITTING CORRELATION LENGTHS IN REAL SPACE



$$f(\mathbf{r}) = A \times G(\mathbf{r}) \times \exp(-|\mathbf{r}|/\xi)$$



24.3.3 Ordering of Na within the two-leg ladders.

Fig. 24.10(a) shows a small region near the origin of the $x = 0$ plane of the Δ PDF map obtained from the 50K X-ray data. The strong white origin peak indicates perfect positive correlation for an atom with itself. Other white peaks also indicate a positive correlation between an atom at the origin with another at a position given by the vectors A, B or C (and the reverse vectors -A, -B and -C). Conversely the dark peaks indicate strong negative correlations. It is quite straightforward to deduce from the observed pattern like that shown in Fig. 24.10(b), where the occupancy of the two Na sites on each rung of the two-leg ladders tends to alternate between $(Na\Box^\dagger)$ and $(\Box Na)$ producing a zig-zag chain of occupied sites. Neighbouring ladders at $z = \pm 1.0$ have the same occupancy pattern which is in phase with the ladder at $z = 0$.




Fig. 24.10 A comparison of (a) the APDF peak intensities at 50K in the $x = 0$ plane of $Na_{0.45}V_2O_5$ with (b) the derived real-space model of sodium ions. Data used in this figure are reproduced with kind permission of Dr Ray Osborn.



24.3.4 Δ PDF peak intensities in the $z = 0$ plane.

Fig. 24.12 shows the $z = 0$ plane of the Δ PDF map observed at three different temperatures. Fig. 24.11(b) shows an enlargement of part of Fig. 24.11(a) where white arrows (labelled A–F) have been used to identify different interatomic vectors. The same set of vectors is shown in Fig. 24.11(e) which is a plot of the $z = 0$ plane of the average structure. The maps are dominated by the same alternating correlations of Na occupancy along the ladder direction parallel to y . Similarly neighbouring chains at $x = \pm 1$ are in step with that at $x = 0$ for temperatures 50K and 150K but at 250K these correlations have been lost.

Although the maps are dominated by the correlations in the Na ladders there are numerous weaker peaks that correspond to correlations between the ladder Na_1 ions and the interstitial Na_2 ions. These correspond to vectors A, B, C and E in Fig. 24.11(e) and involve one Na_1 and one Na_2 ion. These peaks are clearly evident in the 50K map, somewhat less evident at 150K and even less evident at 250K. They are weak relative to those involving Na_1 ions alone simply because of the low occupancy of the Na_2 sites.

[†]here \Box is used to represent a vacant site

BACK TO MULLITE



HOW DO I LOOK AT STATIC DISORDER?

COMPARISON OF ELASTIC SCATTERING AND THE STATIC APPROXIMATION

$$G(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{N} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i(t) + \mathbf{r}_j(0)) \right\rangle$$

$$S(\mathbf{Q}, \omega) = \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega t} dt \int G(\mathbf{r}, t) e^{-i\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} d\mathbf{r} \quad \hbar\delta(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega t} d(\hbar\omega)$$




$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \right)_{coh}^{static} = b_{coh}^2 N \int G(\vec{r}, 0) e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} d\vec{r}$$

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \right)_{coh}^{elastic} = b_{coh}^2 N \int G(\vec{r}, \infty) e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} d\vec{r}$$

MEASURING LARGE VOLUMES OF RECIPROCAL SPACE


Conventional Time-of-Flight Neutron Methods

White Beam:
efficient



NO energy discrimination

Fixed k_i :
energy resolved



NOT efficient

CROSS CORRELATION CHOPPER

S. Rosenkranz and R. Osborn, PRAMANA- Journal of Physics, **71**, 705 (2008).

TOF Laue Diffractometer

- highly efficient data collection
- wide dynamic range in Q


Statistical Chopper


- elastic energy discrimination
- optimum use of white beam

Elastic scattering: $\hbar\omega = 0$

Inelastic scattering: $\hbar\omega = +E_0$

$\hbar\omega = -E_0$







CORELLI

Instrument Scientists

Feng Ye

Yaohua Liu




Instrument Proposers

Stephan Rosenkranz

Ray Osborn


CROSS CORRELATION IN ACTION



Cross
Correlation




Reconstructed Scattering Function




ELASTIC DISCRIMINATION WITH CROSS CORRELATION

Benzil $C_{14}H_{10}O_2$

T=300 K, no Cross-Correlation





T=300 K, Cross-Correlation



T. R. Welberry and R. Whitfield, *Quantum Beam Science* **2**, 2 (2018)


DIFFUSE SCATTERING FROM A FAST-ION CONDUCTOR

Sublattice Melting in SrCl_2




RELAXOR FERROELECTRICS - $\text{Pb}(\text{Mg}_{1/3}\text{Nb}_{2/3})\text{O}_{3-x}\text{PbTiO}_3$

M. J. Krogstad, *et al.*, Nat Mater **48**, 1 (2018).




COMPLEMENTARITY OF NEUTRONS AND X-RAYS

$\text{Pb}(\text{Mg}_{1/3}\text{Nb}_{2/3})\text{O}_3$ -30% PbTiO_3




Corelli Neutrons



CHESS 55keV X-rays

THE FUTURE

- Advances in instrumentation have transformed our ability to measure single crystal total scattering over large volumes of reciprocal space.
 - High-Energy X-rays
 - Time-of-Flight Neutrons
- This is enabling new ways of analyzing the data:
 1. Unsupervised machine learning
 2. *ab initio* computational modeling
 3. 3D- Δ PDF - real-space pair distributions
- The results give unique insight into disordered materials
 - Bridging the gap between diffraction and imaging



A FEW REFERENCES

- T. R. Welberry & B. Butler, Chem Rev **95**, 2369–2403 (1995).
- F. Frey, Acta Cryst B **51**, 592–603 (1995).
- T. R. Welberry & D. J. Goossens, Acta Cryst A **64**, 23–32 (2007).
- D. A. Keen & A. L. Goodwin, Nature News **521**, 303–309 (2015).

