## **Proposal Writing:** Hints for maximizing your chances for getting beam time

#### John Budai

Materials Science & Technology, ORNL Facility User – Proposal writer – Proposal reviewer

# General background on how DOE user facilities function and evolve

- Now for something completely different
- No equations!
- Scientists spend a lot of time writing proposals, reviewing proposals, giving presentations and getting funding.



ORNL is managed by UT-Battelle for the US Department of Energy

### X-ray and Neutron Sources (most DOE-Basic Energy Sciences)



**Also** 5 DOE Nanoscience Centers (BNL, SNL/LANL, ORNL, ANL, LBNL) DOE Electron Microscopy Centers (ANL, LBNL, ORNL)

## **DOE-BES Scientific User Facilities**



NSF facilities (e.g. National High Magnetic Field Lab, CHESS, Nanotech)

### **ORNL Home to many User Facilities** (acronym required)

- > HFIR High Flux Isotope Reactor
- SNS Spallation Neutron Source
- CNMS Center for Nanophase Materials Sciences
- BTRIC Building Technologies Research and Integration Center
- CSMB Center for Structural Molecular Biology (Bio-SANS)
- CFTF Carbon Fiber Technology Facility
- MDF Manufacturing Demonstration Facility (e.g. additive)
- NTRC National Transportation Research Center
- OLCF Oak Ridge Leadership Computing Facility
- SL Safeguards Laboratory
- SHaRE Shared Research Equipment (TEM, merged in CNMS)

Also, 2 EFRC's (Energy Frontier Research Centers), 1 Energy Hub (Nuclear Modeling and Simulation ) Try a google search of National Labs for your area

## X-ray and Neutron Sources Available Worldwide

### **Scattering Science Goes Global – access varies**

### Light Sources summarized at www.lightsources.org

- ~61 facilities: 48 synchrotrons + 13 free electron lasers (FELs)
- European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF), Grenoble, France
  SPRING-8, Japan
- •PETRA III, Germany
- •CLS, SLS, Shanghai, DIAMOND, BESSYII, SOLEIL, Taiwan, Pohang, ...
- •XFEL.EU, SACLA, FLASH, ...

### Neutron Sources summarized at www.neutronsources.org

- ~50 research centers:
- •Institut Laue-Langevin (ILL), Grenoble, France
- •JSNS at J-PARC, Japan
- •ISIS UK
- •China Spallation Neutron Source, Dongguan (~2018)
- •European Spallation Source (ESS), Lund, Sweden (~2019)

### **DOE-BES Facilities Construction ~30 Years**



### **BES MIE/Construction Funding Profile: 2000-2017**

**Fiscal Year** 

#### 350.000

### Current & Near Future?

BESAC – Basic Energy Sciences Advisory Committee

LCLS-II (-HE, ultrafast, high rep MHz) NEXT (NSLS-II EXp. Tools) APS Upgrade (ultrabright MBA, repeat CD-) SNS 2<sup>nd</sup> Target (cold neutrons) Ongoing evaluations - Subject to change Difficult choices with large impact. Science driven.

#### **"Critical Decisions"**

CD-0, Mission Need (proposal) CD-1, Alternative Selection & Cost Range CD-2, Performance Baseline CD-3, Start of Construction CD-4, Start of Operations



## FY 2017 DOE BES Budget Request

#### Research programs (w/SBIR/ STTR)

- Energy Frontier Research Centers (Δ = +\$33.8M)
- Computational Chemical Sciences (new, \$14M)
- Core Research\* with increase for Mission Innovation and other new opportunities, including quantum materials, synthesis science, and subsurface science (Δ = +\$52M)
- Energy Innovation Hubs & Computational Materials Sciences

### Scientific user facilities (w/SBIR/STTR)

- All full operating facilities at optimal operations (Δ = +\$7.5M)
- Accelerator and Detector Research (Δ = + \$4.8M)



#### Construction and instrumentation

- Advanced Photon Source Upgrade
- Linac Coherent Light Source-II (Δ = -\$10.3M)

### BES User Facilities Hosted Over 15,000 Users in FY 2016



- NSLS-II started early operations in FY 2015.
- The three electron beam microcharacterization centers were merged administratively with their respective neighboring NSRCs in FY 2015.
- The BES operations at the Lujan Neutron Scattering Center ceased operations in FY 2014.

### Users by Discipline at the DOE Light Sources



### The SNS and HFIR user community continues to expand







### **Overall subscription rates at both facilities remain high**

HFIR SNS

#### **Facility Subscription Rates by Year**



### **Neutron User Communities**

## CY-2014 Research Areas on GU Allocated Proposals



### Basics of the facility proposal systems

How do you beamtime with enhanced success rate?

- All the DOE (NIST & NSF) neutron and x-ray sources offer access to beam time through an experimental proposal system. "General Users (GU)".
- Proposal submission is done through a web-based application. When and how often proposals are submitted varies by facility.
  - APS and NSLS-II three times ("cycles") per year.
  - SNS/HFIR and ALS two times per year
- All proposals are peer-reviewed and rated, and beam time is allocated using the scores of these reviews. Once time has been allocated, the beamline staff schedule the proposals.

## Amount of general user time available

### APS/NSLS/SSRL/ALS

- ✓ All beamlines offer GU beam time.
- Most DOE/NSF funded beamlines provide 80-100% of their time to general users.

### SNS/HFIR

- Amount varies by instrument.
- ✓ ~75% of time will be for general users.



For most, you can search facility websites by technique or by beamline. Quality of proposal websites varies.

### **Upcoming Proposal Deadlines** www.lightsources.org/deadlines

### X-ray sources (cycles/yr)

APS (3) ALS (2) NSLS-II (3) LCLS (~2) SSRL (3)

### **Deadlines**

Oct 27, 2017 (every 4 months) Sept 6, 2017 (every 6 months) Sept 30, 2017 May 4, 2017 ~Sept 1, 2017

#### **Neutron sources**

HFIR/SNS (2) NIST-NCNR (~2) HFIR Oct 11, 2017. SNS down. March 14, 2017 (less regular)

- These are hard deadlines.
- APS always at Friday midnight (12:05  $\rightarrow$  next cycle)
- Inside Tip: Starting APS application process early (save without submitting) gives you a lower ID #.

### Users Get Started with Assistance of the Instrument Scientists

### Study instrument web pages

### Contact an Instrument Scientist to discuss your research

- What is the research problem?
- Which instrument(s) are appropriate? (scores?)
- How mature is the research project (risk, size)?
- What is the material sample composition, form, size, availability?
- What are the experimental conditions (temperature, pressure, magnetic field, etc)?
- What will be measured?
- Probability of success? Impact? Significance?
- How will results be presented and to whom?
- What is the timeline?



- Provide technical advice, guidance, and assistance
  - Instrument options
  - Sample and experiment preparation
  - Number of experiment days
  - Logistics (scheduling, transporting and storing samples)
  - Proposal preparation tips and assistance
  - Experiment team members
  - Data analysis
  - Publication considerations

In general, consider beamline staff as collaborators, include as co-authors if appropriate.

### **Submitting a proposal** Facilities have link on home page



### Different types of proposals allow facility flexibility

### Each facility has particular systems or proposal modes:

#### <u>APS</u>

- **GUP** General User Proposal. A "rapid-access beamtime request" against a submitted proposal can be considered for any unallocated general user time during the current run.
- **PUP** Partner User Proposal Groups whose work involves a greater degree of collaboration with the APS. (e.g. major new instrumentation or technique).
- Rapid Access after deadline, beamline staff can run if time available (quick, high impact)
- Mail –in 11 BM powder XRD accepts both on-site and rapid-access mail-in service. Very easy they send you capillary tubes. This capability is not obvious on the GUP website.

#### CHESS – Cornell (NSF)

**Express-Mode proposals** are for a single visit of limited duration to CHESS to perform a straightforward experiment. Express-Mode proposals undergo a rapid on-line review process to enable users to quickly gain access to beam time.

Feasibility Study proposals are to test an idea or procedure at one of the CHESS stations.

NSLS → NSLS-II 17 NSLS-II beamlines now accepting General User Proposals Commissioning on some other beamlines – opportunity to test ideas Proposal types: General user, Discretionary (staff), Partner, Proprietary, Rapid Access

### Different types of proposals allow facility flexibility – cont.

#### **SNS HFIR**

General User (majority of proposals – one cycle) Programmatic (allows >1 cycle, e.g. your thesis) Mail-in powder POWGEN, NOMAD, and VISION Proof of principle (feasibility – 1 day) Sample alignment (add to other proposal) HFIR CG-1B Laue Rapid Access - high impact, can be submitted anytime

#### **NIST NCNR**

#### MAIL-IN SAMPLES FOR POWDER DIFFRACTION

Accepts proposals for experiments on the <u>BT1 powder diffractometer</u> on "<u>mail-in</u>" samples. That is, samples may be mailed to NCNR staff, who will execute the data collection.

#### QUICK ACCESS PROPOSALS

If a user feels that beam time is required very soon to carry out important measurements that cannot be delayed, a proposal may be submitted requesting expedited access. The proposal will be reviewed by the BTAC, and held to a substantially higher standard than regular proposals.

### Macromolecular Crystallography is often a separate, self-contained

community

- A separate proposal system at APS.
- Highly automated for mail-in measurements.
- Beamtime relatively available.

### **Proposal forms at SNS and APS**

### **SNS/HFIR**



150	Contro Desearch Marilla Statem							
6	C Create Propisal - Mounta Firefox							
10	e Edit Yew Higtory Bookmarks Tools Help							
	🖕 💽 🗙 🏠 🔝 https://sreappil.ses.onl.gov/ps/sprod/?p=100:4939104488046203222:100							
P	📓 Most Visted 🐢 Getting Started 🜇 Latest Headines 🗋 Hotmal 🗋 NetZero E-mail 🔺 Argorne National Lab							
In	ntegrated Proposal Tracking System Home Feedback FAO Logout 🤮							
	Home Create a New Proposal Create a Proposal From an Existing Proposal / My Proposals / All Proposals							
Cr	reate a New Proposal							
	Help •							
	Base Proposal Information	Cancel	Save and Continue					
	Proposal Number	Pending						
	Name	Buzanne Te Velthuis	Email tevelthuis@anl.gov					
	Date	23-SEP-2008						
	<ul> <li>User Institution</li> </ul>	Search						
	* Proposal Title	test						
	* Proposal Type	%						
	Will the data collected be considered Proprietary?	○ Yes ○ No						
	Will the data collected be considered classified?	○ Yes ○ No						
	Is this research required for a student's thesis?	○ Yes ○ No						
	Does this experiment involve exposure to, or use of, biological materials? Such as recombinant DNA, virus or components of a virus, a biological bxin, exposure or handling of risk group 1 or 2 microorganisms (dead or alive), select agents or toxins (dead or alive) or any other sort of biologically hazardous material, to either plants or animals.	○ Yes ○ No						
	Will human subjects or laboratory animals be used in this experiment, or does this operation involve exposure to, or handling of, human tissue or body fluids, human cells in culture or animal matter?	○ Yes ○ No						
	<ul> <li>Will Hazardous substances, equipment, or procedure be brought to ORNL as part of this proposed experiment? If Yes, provide detailed safety procedures in proposal text.</li> </ul>	O Yes O No						
	* Abstract							
		10						
		D of 4000						
	Related Proposals		×					
De	ne		snsapp1.sns.ornl.gov 🔒 📑					

~				
🥹 APS - General	User Proposal - Mozilla Firefox			
Eile Edit View	Higtory Bookmarks Iools Help			0
( ) · C	X 🏠 https://beam.aps.anl.gov/pls/apsweb/gup0001.display_exp?i_pid=7926590108414:	288i_page_num=18i_gup_id=	10: ☆ • G• Google	P
North Marked		Stadaunt To The State		
Most visited	setung started 📶 Latest Headlines 🕑 weather 🚰 APS GOP 🗳 BCDA 📶 Mexids 📶 Bensbargans	mesnineat 😡 IV 📶 Ca	INSAS WIKI 😝 DEDA Lasks - ITac	- ^
APS - Ge	neral User Proposal 💫 🗧 🗧 🖉 🖉 🖉			
Main Menu   Search	Criteria   Instructions   Logout			
	Develope			
General	Experimenters Abstract Request Questions Review Panel			
a latit	Proposal : GUP-10325			
Proposal little:				
	Shifts Recommended by DPD: Shifts Allocated by DAC			
	not available or Schedued by Bartine (0) in current cycle	Shifts Used to date: (0)	Shifts Remaining: not available	
	Do you want this proposal to be considered for project status? <u>description</u>	Yes 🔿 No 🖲		
	Does this proposal require mail-in service?	Yes 🔿 No 💿		
	Does this research involve macromolecular crystallography (single crystals) ?	Yes 🔿 No 🔿		
	Will the data collected be considered proprietary ?	Yes 🔿 No 🔿		
	Will the data collected be considered classified ?	Yes 🔿 No 🔿		
	Does this research involve human subjects or materials ?	Yes 🔿 No 🔿		
	Does this research involve live animals?	Yes 🔿 No 🔿		
	Are there known safety hazards associated with the proposed experimental procedures or your samples ?	Yes 🔿 No 🔿		
	Is this research required for a student's thesis ?	Yes O No O		
	Is this proposal related to another general user proposal ?	Yes O No O		
	If so, which one(s) and how ? (500 characters or loss)			
Subject of				
Research:	Materials science Physics     Chemistry     Determine     Control on the second s			
	Polymers Medical applications Biological and life sciences	ICES		
	Engineering     Destrumentation related to user facilities     Purchase of specialty s	optics)		
	Other (modify) Specify Other :	ervice of fildteridis		
	Generate Report Copy Proposal		Next Page	/
	Pressing SAVE will allow you to save this proposal and continue to	o make changes. Notific	cations will not be sent. Save	
Proposal # · 10	Pressing SUBMIT will save this proposal AND notifications will be sent to	the APS. No changes c	an be made thereafter. Submit	
rioposar#:10				
Main Menu   Search	Criteria   Instructions   Logout			~
Done			beam.aps.anl.gov	â .:

### Each proposal system will ask very similar questions

### Proposal Title

- General Info (Title, Experimenters, Funding source, etc.)
- Abstract What is the scientific importance of the proposed research?
- Why do you need the facility to do this research?
  - (Neutron vs. X-rays) or (Neutrons + X-rays)?
  - Why do you need an insertion device beamline instead of a bending magnet?
  - Spallation source vs. reactor source
  - Hard X-rays vs. Soft X-rays
- Why do you need the beam line (and/or instrument)?
  - Particular technique or sample environment
- What previous experience / results do you have (pubs important)?
- Describe the proposed experiment(s), including samples and procedures. Show that you're prepared.
- Justification of the amount of time requested. Don't be greedy or unrealistic about time needed. Ask beamline staff.

### **General Information**

9	🕑 Edit Proposal - Mozilla Firefox						
	<u>File E</u> dit <u>V</u> iew Hi <u>s</u> tory <u>B</u> ookmarks <u>T</u> ools <u>H</u> elp						
	🔇 🕞 🗸 🕜 🚺 https://snsapp1.sns.ornl.g	ov/pls/xprod/f?p=100:11:3910448804620322::NO::P11_PRP5L_ID:14988cs=379C651964E7D8D6B013400184A7F54 🏠 🔹 💽 🕞 Google 🔎					
0	🙋 Most Visited 🗫 Getting Started 🔊 Latest Headlines 🗋 Hotmail 📋 NetZero E-mail 🔺 Argonne National Lab						
1	ntegrated Proposal Tracking System	PDF of IPTS-1498 Home Feedback FAQ Logout					
	Home	Proposal Details / Funding:Research Areas / Facilities:Instruments / Team Members / Samples / Scheduling / Submit for Review					
P	Ay Proposals > Edit Proposal IPTS-1498						
	tisk m						
	Help 🖷						
	Edit Proposal	Cancel Apply Changes					
	Proposal Number	IPTS-1498					
	Status Name	Saved for Further Editing by Applicant Suzanne Te Vethuis					
	Email	tevelthuis@anl.gov					
	* Proposal Date	23-SEP-2008 15:23					
	* User Institution	US - Argonne National Laboratory Search					
┢	Proposal Title	test					
1	* Proposal Type	General User 🔹					
	* Will the data collected be considered proprietary?	○ Yes ⊙ No					
	* Will the data collected be considered classified?	○ Yes ⊙ No					
	Is this research required for a student's thesis?	⊙ Yes ○ No					
	Does this experiment involve exposure to, or use of, biological materials? Such as recombinant DNA, virus or components of a virus, a biological toxin, exposure or handling of risk group 1 or 2 microorganisms (dead or alive), select agents or toxins (dead or alive) or any other sort of biologically hazardous material, to either plants or animals.	⊖ Yes ⊙ No					
	Will human subjects or laboratory animals be used in this experiment, or does this operation involve exposure to, or handling of, human tissue or body fluids, human cells in culture or animal matter?	○ Yes ⊙ No					
	Will Hazardous substances, equipment, or procedure be brought to ORNL as part of this proposed experiment? If Yes, provide detailed safety procedures in proposal text.	○ Yes ③ No					
		This is the abstract					
7	* Abstract						
		явс					
		20 of 4000					
		Download Template Attach Statement of Research (pdf)					
		Please use the Template Provided to Prepare your Proposal.					
	Last Modified Date	23-SEP-2008 15:23					
_							
	Jone	snsapp1.sns.ornl.gov 🔒					

- Pick a good title. Specific and to the point is better than spectacular and vague. Spectacular and specific is fine if credible.
  - Good: "XAS study of Fe valence in CaFe2As2 under pressure "
  - Bad: "Understanding superconductivity in superconductors"
- Is it thesis related? Is there a deadline?
  - Will push your proposal up if scores are close
- Fill in the abstract This is where reviewer develops first impression.
  - Do not just upload a PDF document! More work for reviewer.
  - Science impact in abstract is most important criteria for score.
- Do upload a figure/publication from previous work.
  - Shows you made good use of beam time. Becoming more important.
  - Do not upload a 20 pages of supplemental information (figures often help, couple of plots with text OK)

### **Proposal: Experimenters page**

spoke person.	Find								
First Name :				Last Name					
Phone:			3	Email				Badge	
Institution:									
Mailing Address:									
operimenters	i.	Badge	First Name	Last Name	Affliation		Phone	Email	Delete
oming to APS:	Find								
	Find								
	Find	<u></u>							
	Find								
perimenters	-	Badge	First Name	Last Name	Affliation		Phone	Email	Delete
ot Coming to APS:	Find								
	Find								
	Find								0
	Find								
	Tind		1						
						Generate F	Report		Next P
Previous Page									

•Use the "find" feature

•List everyone involved in experiment

•Even theorists are useful to show impact and collaborations

### **Experiment Description**

	Proposal : C	GUP-10325
······································	1.56	
ease specify the funding so	urce(s) for your proposed research:	
	DOE, Office of Basic Energy	Sciences DOE, Office of Biological and Environmental Research
DOE, Other (specify)	E Foreign (specify)	
☐ Howard Hughes Medical Instit	tute (HHMI) 🗀 Industry	
_] NIH		Other U.S. Government
USDA	Other (specify)	Specify Other:
hat is the scientific or tech	nical nurnose and importance of the n	roposed research? (limit : 500 words)
'hy do you need the APS for	• this research? (limit : 100 words)	
hy do you need the APS for	• this research? (limit : 100 words)	
'hy do you need the APS for	this research? (limit : 100 words)	
hy do you need the APS for	this research? (limit : 100 words)	
'hy do you need the APS for	• this research? (limit : 100 words)	
'hy do you need the APS for	r this research? (limit : 100 words)	
'hy do you need the APS for	r this research? (limit : 100 words)	
hy do you need the APS for	this research? (limit : 100 words)	

#### Note guidance! Don't write one sentence or 1000 words.

Do not use undefined jargon or acronyms that could frustrate reviewer!

### **Experimental Details**

Give background information why it is important.

- Science at facilities is very diverse. Reviewer is not necessarily an expert on your subject. Try to capture imagination of reviewer with basic idea.
- Each committee gets many proposals each cycle. Proposal needs to be clear.
- Clearly state what you want to measure and how
  - Give some details. Temperature range, X-ray Energy, Sample geometry
  - What sample characterization has been done already? (XRD, SEM, etc.)
  - Reviewer and beamline need to judge if experiment is feasible
    - Does x-ray energy match laser penetration depth
    - % of dilute atoms OK for fluorescence measurements
- Why use x-rays or neutrons?
  - Neutron vs. X-rays OR Neutron + X-rays?
  - TEM, Mössbauer, Laser Raman, etc.
- Justify the amount of beam time requested (ask instrument scientist!)
  - Be reasonable.

### **Beamtime Request**

General Experimenters A	Abstract Request Questions Review Panel
	Proposal : GUP-10325
Rapid Access Description M	lake New Request 3rd
	Total 8-hour shifts requested for the LIFE OF THE PROPOSAL
	Total 8-hour shifts recommended by the Proposal Review Panel for the LIFE OF THE PROPOSAL
	Total shifts used to date:0
	Number of the shifts remaining not available
	For which scheduling period are you applying? V Status :
	Techniques Required:
	Choice Of Beamline:
	Please select the instrument based on your beamline selection: For 1st beamline For 2nd beamline For 3rd beamline
	Any appropriate beamline 🗸
	Number of 8-hour shifts requested for THIS scheduling period
	Minimum number of usable shifts per visit:
	Do you have specific scheduling requirements ?
	What equipment is required ? What equipment will you bring ?
	Please list any new publications resulting from your work at the APS.
	Describe the progress made during your most recent beamtime. (2000 characters including spaces)
	Unacceptable Dates         From         To           (MM/DD/YYYY)         to
Previous Page	Generate Report Noxi Pac
	Pressing SAVE will allow you to save this proposal and continue to make changes. Notifications will not be sent. Save Pressing SUBMIT will save this proposal AND notifications will be sent to the APS. No changes can be made thereafter. Submit

- APS proposals are valid for two years, but need to put in beam time request each cycle.
- Chose multiple beamlines.
  - SAXS (12-ID, 5-ID, 15-ID)
  - XAFS (20-BM, 10-ID,12-BM)
  - General Diffraction
  - Don't list only one week that you can come. Holidays?
- Special sample environment / detectors will place more constraints on schedule.
  - GE amorphous Si detector
  - Magnet
  - ....

### **Ratings for APS Proposals**

Table 1. Definition of Ratings Used in Reviewing General User Proposals				
1 - Extraordinary	The proposal involves highly innovative research of great scientific importance. Proposed research will significantly advance knowledge in a specific field or scientific discipline. Considerable societal relevance is demonstrated. The radiation characteristics of the APS are highly desirable for the success of the proposed work.			
2 - Excellent	The proposed research is of high quality and has potential for making an important contribution to a specific field or scientific discipline. The work is cutting edge and is likely to be published in a leading scientific journal. The radiation characteristics of the APS are important to the success of the proposed work.			
3 - Good	The proposed research is near cutting-edge and likely to produce publishable results. Impact on a specific field or scientific discipline is likely. Synchrotron radiation is essential to accomplish the intended goals of the research. The proposed work will greatly benefit from access to the APS.			
4 - Fair	The proposed research is interesting but may not significantly impact a specific field or scientific discipline. Publication may or may not result from this research. Synchrotron radiation is required, but the proposed work could be performed at other facilities.			
5 - Poor	The proposed research is not well planned or is not feasible. Results would not make important contributions to fundamental or applied understanding, and work is not likely to result in publication. The need for synchrotron radiation is not clear.			

APS proposals are rated on a scale from 1 to 5

Average score was ~2.2

Cut off score for receiving beam time varies by beamline (1.5 - 2.2)

Proposal "**ageing**" (score improves by 0.2 each cycle it does not receive time). This is needed for getting time at some oversubscribed beamlines, so long-term planning is needed. But you have to <u>remember</u> to request beamtime again for every cycle.

### Pick appropriate panel – Important!

#### Old APS Panels

High Pressure Instrumentation Imaging/Microbeam Macromolecular Crystallography Scattering Applied Materials

#### New Panels

High Pressure Instrumentation Imaging/Microbeam Macromolecular Crystallography Scattering - Condensed Matter Scattering - Applied Materials Scattering – Chem / Biol / Environment Small Angle Scattering (SAXS) Spectroscopy Structural Science Inelastic X-ray scattering

### *If multiple possibilities -Look at members & Ask staff*

Proposal Review Panels		
ligh Pressure	Instrumentation	Imaging/ Microbeam
Przemyslaw Dera, Chair	Keith Brister, Chair	Tony Lanzirotti, Chair
Ercan Alp	Robert Henning	Darren Dale
Maria Baldini	Wenjun Liu	Matthew Ginder-Vogel
Bin Chen		<ul> <li>Xiaojing Huang</li> </ul>
Yoshio Kono		Tracy Punshon
Lars Ehm		Dula Parkinson
Ravi Kumar		Mark Pfeifer
Barbara Lavina		Martina Ralle
<ul> <li>Sang-Heon (Dan) Shim</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Xianghui Xiao</li> </ul>
Heather Watson		
Macromolecular Crystallography	Scattering—Condensed Matter	Scattering—Applied Materials
John Rose, Chair	Roy Clarke, Chair	Dillon Fong, Chair
Arnon Lavie	Eric Dufresne	Armand Beaudoin
Anne Mulichak	<ul> <li>Gregory MacDougall</li> </ul>	Todd Hufnagel
	Steve May	Dileep Singh
	Michael Pierce	Mike Toney
	Christian Schlepuetz	Marcus Young
	Hua Zhou	
Scattering—Chem/Biol Environmental	Small Angle Scattering (SAXS)	Spectroscopy
R. Joseph Kline, Chair	Debbie Myers, Chair	Gilles Doumy, Chair
David Gidalevitz	<ul> <li>John Flanagan</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Eli Stavitski</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Sang Soo Lee</li> </ul>	Sagar Kathuria	Yulia Pushkar
Marc Michel	Suresh Naryanan	Sungsik Lee
<ul> <li>Zonghai Chen</li> </ul>	Fan Zhang	Todd Luxton
		Sujoy Roy
		Azzam Mansour
		George Sterbinsky
		Conan Weiland
		Jenny Lockard
Structural Science	Inelastic X-ray Scattering	
Angus Wilkinson, Chair	Mark Dean, Chair	
David Bish	<ul> <li>Jason Hancock</li> </ul>	
Omar Chmaissem	Ben Larson	
Eric Dooryhee	Stephan Rosenkranz	
<ul> <li>Ashfi Huq</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>James Kaduk</li> </ul>		
Matthew Kramer		
Karen Mulfort		
Craig Bridges		

### ALS provides cutoff scores – Helps you know what to expect

http://www-als.lbl.gov/index.php/user-information/user-guide/354-proposal-score-statistics.html

#### Beamline cutoff scores



SNS/HFIR does not tell you a score or panel members.

You can try asking user office or beamline.

Beamline	% Beam Time Allocated / Requested	Cutoff Score	
1.4 (IR)	58	2.34	
2.1 (NCXT)			1
4.0.2 (Magnetic Spectroscopy/ Scattering)	37	1.92	
4.0.3.1 (MERIXS)	23	2.67 easi	er
4.0.3.2 (ARPES)	23	2.00	
5.3.2.2 (Polymers XAFS)	49	1.88	
5.4 (IR)	69	2.34 easi	er
6.1.2 (Soft X-Ray Microscopy)	46	1.88	1
6.3.1.1 (Magnetic Spectroscopy)	25	2.00	1
6.3.1.2 (ISAAC In Situ XAS)	25	1.74	1
6.3.2 (Calibration and Standards)	65	→ <sup>2.40</sup> easi	er
7.3.3 (SAXS)	35	→ 1.67 hard	er
8.0.1 (SXF)	18	🗕 1.70 hard	er
8.3.1			1
8.3.2 (Tomography)	35	2.00	1
9.0.2 (Chemical Dynamics, Coherent Imaging)	60	2.07	
9.3.2 (APSD/AMC, High-Pressure XPS)	25	→ <sup>1.68</sup> hard	er
10.0.1. (HERS/AMO)	24	1.98	1
10.3.2 (Micro XAFS)	44	1.88	1
11.0.1.1 (PEEM3)	24	1.88	1
11.0.1.2 (Soft X-Ray Scattering)	24	1.68	1
11.0.2 (Molecular Environmental Sciences, STXM, ambient pressure XPS)	17	→ <sup>1.58</sup> hard	er
11.3.1 (Small Molecule Crystallography)	46	2.06	
12.0 (ARPES)	4	2.06	
12.2.2 (High Pressure)	42	1.95	]
12.3.2 (Microdiffraction)	38	1.80	]
**Total allocation	33		

### Tips (see also https://neutrons.ornl.gov/users/tips)

- Give a concise explanation of this specific proposal
  - Provide background on importance (i.e., "bigger picture")
  - State clearly and exactly what you are going to measure and why.
    - Reviewer want to assess likelihood of success.
- Include relevant details to experiment but do not get too verbose
  - Reviewer needs to judge not only scientific importance, but also if the experiment is feasible and if you are asking for the right instrument.
- Talk to the local contact/instrument scientist.
  - Find out about details of the instrument, typical measuring times...
  - Over-subscription rate? Can a less popular instrument do the same measurements?
  - Send them the proposal ahead of time and ask for advice. Collaborate?
- If you have previous results from other experiments include them!
  - Home, other institution, previous experiment.
  - Sample characterization.
- Take advantage of proposal ageing (APS, NSLS-II). Plan ahead!
- Do not submit a bad proposal if you get rushed. Reviewer will not appreciate.

### Several common pitfalls

- Proposer assumes committee is familiar with their specialty. Explain impact.
- Proposer writes large general vague proposal asking for multiple weeks of time. Better to write a shorter proposal with a well defined objective. Be realistic with beam time request.
- Proposer submits 2 (or more) similar proposals for related materials thinking that multiple proposals increases chances. Reviewer may not appreciate.
- Proposal deadline (for next cycle) is before scheduled beam time this cycle.

#### Common Reviewer comments:

- Proposers could improve their score by including more experimental details, attaching previous results and expanding on the purpose and importance of the research."
- "Hasn't the proposed research been published previously?"
- "We do not feel that granting 20 shifts/cycle for 2 years is consistent with the history of publication of this work."
- "Proposer should perform initial characterization with lab sources or TEM."

## After submission

- Allow time for review and revisions
- Expect feedback several weeks from the call close
- Be ready to schedule experiment if approved
  - Identify participating team members
  - Respond to facility access approval information
  - Facilitate execution of user agreements
  - Complete required training. (APS electrical safety)
  - Confirm sample availability and description and laboratory needs
- Consider reviewer comments if not approved and plan to resubmit this proposal or a new proposal in the next call. Opportunities (# of facilities and beamlines/facility) continue to grow.

## Scientific and Funding Opportunities

### As a student

- Attend neutron & x-ray school and other workshops. Knowledge and connections have long-term impact. Collaborations are essential.
- Join SNS HFIR User Group (SHUG) and other facility user organizations Advocacy group, learn about and influence new developments
- Explore DOE and NSF internships, fellowships, and research programs SCGSR, ORISE/ORAU (HERE, GO!). Local contacts help (a lot).
- Invite scientists from national labs to your campus, e.g. for seminar

### As a young professional

- Continue to use "free of charge" user facilities New faculty and industrial users can be favored in reviews
- Volunteer to be a reviewer on proposal panels
- Consider EPSCoR programs if located in an a participating state
- Apply for Early Career award looks great on tenure application

### Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research

- EPSCoR State Institutions are eligible for grants to support research
  - <u>http://www.nsf.gov/div/index.jsp?org=EPSC</u>
  - <u>http://www.sc.doe.gov/BES/EPSCoR/about.html</u>

~24 states, Puerto Rico, Guam, and the U.S. Virgin Islands are eligible to participate in the DOE EPSCoR program, but the list changes with federal funding.



### Office of Science Early Career Research Program (for your future – very good for tenure)

- Purpose: To support individual research programs of outstanding scientists early in their careers and to stimulate research careers in the disciplines supported by the Office of Science
- **Eligibility:** Within 10 years of receiving a Ph.D., either untenured academic assistant professors on the tenure track or full-time DOE national lab employees (no postdocs)
- Award Size:
  - University grants \$150,000 per year for 5 years to cover summer salary and expenses
  - National lab awards \$500,000 per year for five years to cover full salary and expenses
- FY 2010 (Inaugural Year) Results:
  - 69 awards funded via the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act
  - 1,750 proposals peer reviewed to select the awardees
  - 47 university grants and 22 DOE national laboratory awards
  - Awardees are from 44 separate institutions in 20 states
- FY 2017:
  - 59 scientists funded (typically ~700 applications), 20 National Labs + 39 Universities
  - Usually pre-application in Sept, Full applications from those encouraged in November.

### http://science.energy.gov/early-career/

### Proposal Resource: "Basic Research Needs Workshop..."

~50 reports in past ~20 yrs; Participants from academia, industry, and DOE labs



- **BRN to Assure a Secure Energy Future (BESAC 2002)**
- A BRN on Energy and Water (2017)
- **BRN** on Next Generation Electrical Energy Storage (2017)
- BRN on Innovation and Discovery of Transformative
   Experimental Tools (2016)
- BRN Synthesis Science for Energy Relevant Technology (2016)
- BRN on Future Electron Sources (2016)
  - BES Computing Exascale Requirements Review (2015)
- BRN Quantum Materials for Energy Relevant Technology (2015)
- Sustainable Ammonia Synthesis (2016)
- Neuromorphic Computing (2015)
- BRN for Environmental Management (2015)
  - Challenges at the Frontiers of Matter and Energy (2015)
  - Controlling Subsurface Fractures and Fluid Flow (2015)
  - X-ray Optics for BES Light Source facilities (2012)

http://science.energy.gov/bes/community-resources/reports/

Focused on current & future, not a scientific review article – good source of science motivation



### You can help plan future Scientific User Facilities



BESAC evaluation Report released late 2003

Available at

www.science.energy.gov/bes/archives/plans/ FFS\_10NOV03.pdf

- Under construction at the time of the evaluation
  - Spallation Neutron Source

- operating
- 5 Nanoscale Science Research Centers

operating operating

- SSRL (SPEAR3) upgrade
  - e ope
- Facilities underway since the evaluation
  - TEM Aberration Corrected Microscope operating
  - Linac Coherent Light Source

operating

National Synchrotron Light Source - II

operating

- Facilities rated longer-term priority at the time of the evaluation
  - Spallation Neutron Source power upgrade (delayed)
  - Spallation Neutron Source 2<sup>nd</sup> target station
  - Advanced Light Source upgrade
  - Advanced Photon Source upgrade

Restarted 3 times!

- What's next in planning?
  - Ongoing BESAC Future Science Needs and Opportunities Evaluations

#### **Next Generation Light/Neutron Sources continuously debated** BESAC = Basic Energy Sciences Advisory Committee

#### **BESAC Report on Facility Upgrades**, 2016

http://science.energy.gov/bes/besac/reports/

Facility Upgrade	Criteria 1	Criteria 2
APS-U	Absolutely Central	Ready to initiate construction
ALS-U	Absolutely Central	Ready to initiate construction
LCLS II-HE	Absolutely Central	Ready to initiate construction
Proton Power Upgrade	Absolutely Central	Significant scientific/engineering challenges to resolve before initiating construction
SNS Second Target Station	Absolutely Central	Significant scientific/engineering challenges to resolve before initiating construction

### Many competing choices

- Storage ring vs Free electron laser
- APS/ESRF based on MAX IV Lowemittance MBA lattice
- Energy recovery linac (ERL)?
- High rep rate, Hard/soft FEL?
- Spallation rep rate, resolution?
- Neutron target material/lifetime, power options?

Impact of large Scientific User Facilities has grown significantly in the past ~25 yrs. They represent ~55% of BES budget and growth will continue. They enable powerful new techniques, but researchers (you) have to drive the science.

## Need good science, enthusiasm, politics, luck & perseverance GOOD LUCK AND HAVE FUN!

# QUESTIONS?