Online:

ORNL: Hans Christen, Jamie Fernandez-Baca, Lisa Debeer-Schmitt, Janell Thomson, Travis Williams, Mark Lumsden

External: Geneva Laurita, Adrian Brugger, Rana Ashkar, Daniel Shomaker, Yun Liu, Jaroslaw Majewski, Martin Mourigal, Olivier Delaire, William Ratcliff, Danielle Yahne

Document approval:

Minutes from November 2020 conference call: approve

Agenda for January 2021 conference call: approve

- 1. Welcome new members and introductions (Daniel)
- 2. Elections info and recurring meeting schedule (Daniel)
 - a. Yun is running unopposed for vice chair
 - b. Hoping to keep meeting time same for next year will send doodle poll later
 1. ACTION: respond to poll when sent
 - c. If no volunteer for secretary will be appointed at next meeting
- 3. Update from Mark Lumsden on the Remote Experiment Task Force
 - a. Summary on preparing for remote experiment: definition, task force compilation, timeline, pilot program
 - b. Goal is to enable remote user-controlled experiments on all instruments by end of 2021, starting with select SNS and HFIR instruments by February (ARCS, CNCS, POWGEN, and BioSANS)
 - c. Highlights on modifications in data acquisition, protype user access system (currently undergoing testing), and other areas of note
 - 1. Proposal system modifications, training videos, slack, container loading documents
 - 2. Q: Does this mean that this will be a viable mode of operation in the future?
 - a. Varies by instrument and community, but envision having a set number of days for remote vs onsite, and have the option to choose in proposal system
 - b. Discussed some considerations of specific experiments (triple axis, single crystal alignment, etc.)
 - 4. Q: Can you find a technician for these things, or do you really want users in once vaccinated?a. Advantage to users on site (particularly in regards to sample prep or similar)
 - 5. Q: is this local at ORNL or in coordination with other national labs?
 - a. Communication and engagement with other labs, but unique situation at ORNL so is principally an ORNL initiative
 - 6. Q: will there be the ability to have a hybrid team between remote and onsite users?
 - a. Yes, this is a good solution and may make things easier I the future
 - b. On one team you can have both remote and onsite users to work with a larger team
 - 7. Q: For physical beamlines, concern about operator fatigue, working alone, monitoring sample, etc. How are these hazards being handled?
 - a. The nature of the types of experiments at the beamline is being considered, and instrument scientists have been asked what they are and aren't comfortable with
 - b. Goal is to have every instrument have this capability, but may not be used as much on some; recognize it is not a one size fits all matter
 - c. Discussed how this could impact users of certain communities/instruments
 - 8. Noted success of webcams and remote computer access at NIST
 - a. Currently have integration into current software, but is being considered

SHUG-EC Conference Call: Tuesday January 12th, 2020

- 9. Q: What is the current thinking about enabling current experiments with automated sample changers and environment?
 - a. Doesn't work on every instrument but efforts underway to get this on instruments where feasible
- 10. Q: What is thinking behind modifications in proposal structure/system?
 - a. Instrument team would make an assessment about remote vs in person and would block off based on instrument (pre-BAC meeting)
- 11. Q: Good to have collective feedback to see what types of experiment on a specific instrument might be more amenable to remote
- 12. Q: feedback strategy and communication?
 - a. Still in progress; will still do traditional user survey, but should do another targeted survey to recent remote users
- 13. Q: can we have a remote preferred option, but could get beamtime if they can come on

site?

- a. Yes
- b. Decouple feasibility from scientific; maybe SRC should not see preferred mode to avoid comments on this
- 3. Planning the CNMS/SHUG Joint Users Meeting in Summer 2021 (old schedule <u>https://conference.sns.gov/event/81/</u>) (Daniel, Martin, Janell?)
 - a. Virtual meeting
 - b. Looking for overarching themes on workshops/talks on what the user community might be interested in
 - c. Need to finalize dates
 - i. cannot have entire days blocked out, but don't have to worry about that from a booking perspective
 - ii. ACTION: Daniel will work with Janell and will solicit from EC any overlap
 - 1. Neutron school in July
 - 2. Not clear if NCNR this summer
 - 3. Check with CNMS
 - iii. Target date in July
 - d. Note it is joint meeting with CNMS, so need to coordinate
 - e. Need to keep in mind constraints without travel (associated industry day)
 - f. ACTION: Both ORNL and EC members please consider priorities for the following:
 - g. Plenary Speakers
 - i. What topics do we really want to focus on here? DOE or NSF topics of interest?
 - 1. Martin: What other meetings are occurring that we can avoid date and topic overlap with?
 - a. Yun discussed some ideas of NCNR school (in Feb, so no overlap with this)
 - h. Tutorials and Focus Sessions
 - i. Mixers via zoom (could use random breakout rooms to mingle); need to make sure a mix of levels
 - ii. Panels of interest?
 - i. Invited Speakers
 - j. Engagement
 - i. Particularly junior scientists
 - ii. Poster sessions to get more students involved; use slack to have a thread for each poster for more real-time engagement
 - iii. Could have separate zoom link for each poster

SHUG-EC Conference Call: Tuesday January 12th, 2020

- iv. Live interaction between poster presenter and live audience crucial. A complete session can be in one zoom link, but each poster can be its own breakout room; gather app can also be used (may have some accessibility issues to be aware of)
- k. Awards
- 1. New channel on Slack for brainstorming
- 4. Surveys/metrics on the user experience for external users and internal staff (ORNL, All)
 - a. Skipped for now
 - b. Q: What is the extent of knowledge for the outcome of prior experiments?
 - c. Q: What information is valuable to collect and how can it lead to improved science and impact?
 - d. Q: What are the ongoing initiatives at the lab or SHUG level to enrich the science done by users?
- 5. Q: What is the plan for supporting users before/during the Be reflector outage in 2023, and outages in general? (ORNL, All)
 - a. Discussed overlaps of outage with NIST; hoping to not have complete overlap so there is some instrument availability
 - b. Could check with Chalk River to see how they handled outages before
 - c. Do no have exact dates for this
 - i. Can we coordinate between NIST and ORNL to avoid overlap?
 - ii. Be outage is timed based on number of cycles; slowing down cycles is the only degree of freedom; this is what will be used to make final decision of shutdown time
 - 1. Number of cycles run per year is approved by DOE
 - d. How do we make sure users know this is coming up (at both ORNL and NIST), particularly for early career users?
 - i. Long term operations website? Communication/announcements at meetings
 - ii. Communicate with users the general window to prepare for, even if we don't know exact dates right now; ok to communicate uncertainty
 - e. **ACTION**: Over next few days we will develop the language to communicate this with the user community
- 6. Virtual SHUG-EC on-site visit. Q: Specific requests from ORNL or the EC about what you want to include? (2019 Report and response attached here and posted to Slack) (Daniel)
 - a. To be discussed later
- 7. Message to user community from 2021 SHUG? (Our next user newsletter goes out early-mid February)
 - a. Will follow up via slack
- 8. Other
- a. Discussed update on STS; STS has been approved and search for instrument scientists underway; Discussed process of Be use and replacement
- 9. Next conference call: Tuesday February 9th, 4pm